Lyman- α forest cosmology \mathcal{E} recent BOSS results

Anže Slosar

LSS, Garching, July 2015

Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS)

- BOSS is was one of 4 experiments making up SDSS3.
- Uses 2.5m SDSS telescope
- Large etendue
- Measuring:
 - mid resolution ($R \sim 2000$) spectra
 - UV (~3600Å)- mid IR (~ 10,000Å)
 - 1000 spectra simultaneously
- Got spectra of
 - 1.5 million LRG (z < 0.7)</p>
 - 160,000 QSOs with usable forest
- Survey completed June 2014
- Primary science goal is to measure dark energy through Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations.



BOSS maps



Lyman- α forest



Neutral hydrogen absorbs light from distant quasars blue-ward of Lyman- α emission.

Lyman- α forest



Neutral hydrogen absorbs light from distant quasars blue-ward of Lyman- α emission.

BOSS spectra



3D sampling of the universe



From baryons to flux

Absorption done by neutral hydrogen in photo-ionization equilibrium:

$$\Gamma n_{\rm HI} = \alpha(T) n_{\rho} n_{e} \tag{1}$$

$$n_{\rm HI} = \frac{\alpha(T)\rho_b^2}{\Gamma} \ll 1 \tag{2}$$

and so the absorbed flux fraction is given by

$$f = \exp\left(-\tau\right) \sim \exp\left(-A(1+\delta_b)^{1.7}\right) \tag{3}$$

- We are observing a very non-linear transformation of the underlying density field.
- On large scales, Lyman-α forest is simply a biased tracer.
- On small scales, physics can be understood from first principles.

Data Releases



- DR 12: 2.3 million galaxies, 300k QSOs
- DR 11 (internal release): 90% of DR12
- DR10 : 75% DR12
- DR9 : 60% DR12

Bottom line: majority of papers published with DR11 datasets – no real statistical gain in DR12, but can improve systematics

BOSS spectra



BOSS results: DR11 galaxy BAO



Percent level distance to z = 0.57

This is plot for isotropic measurements – CMASS results are anisotropic

Lyman- α forest BAO



Measurements of BAO at z = 2.4

$\delta_F \delta_Q$ cross-correlation in BOSS



- Detection of the BAO in the cross-correlation between QSO and forest by Andreu Font & co.
- Ability for BOSS to do this has not been predicted, but constraining power nearly as powerful as with flux auto-correlation

World BAO data



- Collection of world BAO data
- Lines are Planck best fit predictions

Cosmology constraints

- The minimal ACDM model fits great (even by eye).
- The high-z points are at 2.5 σ , but overall χ^2 is fine
- What is the story with relaxing other parameters?
 - As you relax the model, Ω_k and w₀ (at pivot) remain well constrained (O(10⁻¹))
 - w_1 is O(1) unconstrained













Distance Ladder



- Distance ladder starts with local measurements of the distance at kpc distances (RR Lyrae, Cepheids, etc.) to calibrate higher distance rulers.
- Once distance to object safely in the hubble flow is determined, we can measure Hubble parameter.



Inverse Distance Ladder



- In inverse distance ladder measurements we start with high-z measurements of the Hubble parameter from BAO and bring them down using SN data.
- This is done in a way that marginalizes over all possible smooth expansion histories.



Inverse distance ladder



Inverse distance ladder transfer H₀ measurement from redshift of observation to z = 0 using Supernovae Type la

Inverse distance ladder



▶ BOSS prefers low-*h* Universe: $H = 68.1 \pm 1.2$

Neutrino mass

- Ratio of numbers of neutrino/photon is determined by thermodynamics in the early universe
- Light neutrinos become non-relativistic at redshift

$$z\sim 2000rac{m_
u}{
m 1eV}$$

- There are subtle expansion history effects:
 - Distance to the last scattering surface is affected by $m_{
 u}$
 - CMB determination of Ω_m does not include neutrinos, while BAOs do.
- Our compressed limit is $\sum m_{\nu} < 0.56 eV$ at 95% c.l. from expansion history alone!
- Including the effects on growth of perturbations tightens the bound to < 0.22eV

Neutrino mass from geometry:



Side rants on $\sum m_{\nu}$

First:

- At the edge of detection, experiments with downward noise fluctuations will claim upper limits and those with upward noise fluctuations detections.
- E.g. say true value $\sum m_{\nu} = 0.15$ eV.
- This completely consistent with $\sum m_{
 u} <$ 0.2eV at 95 c.I AND $\sum m_{
 u} = 0.33 \pm 0.1$ eV

Second:

- Standard lore says that LSS measures neutrino mass by measuring scale-dependent suppression in the matter power spectrum as traced by galaxies
- However, Font-Ribera et all show that for e.g. Planck+DESI give σ∑ m_ν = 0.021eV, dropping to σ∑ m_ν = 0.1eV when marginalizing over Linder's γ parameter (c.f. drop to σ∑ m_ν = 0.038eV when marginalising over DETF model)
 Evidence that RSD play a major role through f σ₀ but more
- Evidence that RSD play a major role through fσ₈, but more work needs to be done

Updates to Lyman- α forest

Using existing pipeline with improvements to systematics:



 A completely different pipeline based on power-spectrum measurement in the works

New power-spectrum code



- Completely new code, developed by Pat McDonald, Andreu Font Ribera and myself
- Took three years, but things coming together
- Power-spectrum measurement
- Basis for all experiments and majority of analysis

Future

- eBOSS: covering the full redshift range to z = 2 using ELG, QSOs as tracers, more Lyα forest
- DESI: 35 million redshifts at z < 1.5
- Euclid: 50 million redshifts at z > 1

